

JB/2005/050242



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.


Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)


Signed

Dated 4 June 2004



An Executive Agency of the Department of Trade and Industry

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977  
(Rule 16)The  
Patent  
Office
 SYTAUM ER4762A-1 D57579  
 161/7700 0.00-0401467.6 ACCIDENT GHA

1/77

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

 Cardiff Road  
 Newport  
 Gwent NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference	PHGB040025GBQ		
2. Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	0401467.6 ✓		23 JAN 2004
3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant ( <u>underline all surnames</u> )	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1 5621 BA BINDHOVEN THE NETHERLANDS 07419294001 ✓		
Patents ADP Number (if you know it)			
If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	THE NETHERLANDS		
4. Title of the invention	RETRANSMISSION SYSTEM		
5. Name of your agent (if you have one)			
"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)	Philips Intellectual Property & Standards Cross Oak Lane Redhill Surrey RH1 5HA		
Patents ADP number (if you know it)	08359655001 ✓		
6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority Application number	Date of filing
	GB	0326366.2	12-11-03
	EP	03102563.8	15-08-03
7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application	Date of filing (day/month/year)	
8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer "Yes" if: a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d))	YES		

Patents form 1

0092482 23 Jan 04 04:02

**Patents Form 1/77**

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document.

## Continuation sheets of this form

Description	4
Claims(s)	0
Abstract	0
Drawings	0 only

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

## Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right

to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and

search (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination

(*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents

(*Please specify*)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

*P. J. Mabby*

Date

*23/1/04*

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 815576

P. J. MABBY

**Warning**

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

**Notes**

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered "Yes" *Patents Form 7/77* will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

**Patents Form 1**

0092482 23 Jan 04 04:02

## DESCRIPTION

## RETRANSMISSION SYSTEM

5 Field of application

Communication systems requiring acknowledgement mechanisms for feedback signalling in retransmissions schemes.

State of the art

10 Retransmission schemes for the reliable delivery of data in multicast i.e. point-to-multipoint configurations are required in communications systems such as UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunication System). Such schemes usually imply the use of some form of feedback mechanism between the receivers (UEs) and the network (NodeB), which can result in a high amount  
15 of feedback signalling when the number of recipients is large.

The concept and mode of operation of a downlink retransmission scheme with an uplink feedback mechanism is easily understood:

- the NodeB sends data on the downlink channel to the UEs belonging to a particular multicast group
- 20 - the uplink feedback mechanism in the UE can take two forms:
  1. "positive" acknowledgment (ACKs) when the UE receives a multicast packet correctly, indicating no need to retransmit
  2. "negative" acknowledgement (NACKs) when the UE detects some packet error or loss, indicating a need or a request to  
25 retransmit that piece of data
- upon receiving the (negative-)acknowledgements, the NodeB can assess whether data retransmission is necessary or not.

The problem

30 The problem emerges when looking at the decision-making process to establish whether to perform retransmissions:

- if a particular UE suffers from a bad radio channel, then it will always be requesting retransmissions. As such, any other feedback signalling coming from other UEs, whether indicating the same request for retransmission or not, would be redundant, as it would just be indicating the same need for a particular retransmission. It would also potentially be a waste of channel resources when the number of UE is high.
- more generally, if a particular group of UEs are bad receivers and trigger retransmissions, then it would be redundant for other (groups of) UEs to repeat the same request, unless they provided some additional information
- 10 - If only a small proportion of UEs is requesting retransmissions, it may be undesirable to waste significant downlink resources retransmitting packets to those UEs.

For an efficient use of feedback signalling in the network, a need appears to establish some sort of selection between (groups of) UEs to optimise the signalling of requests according to the quality of signal reception. In order to do that, some form of knowledge of the different levels of "good" or "bad" reception by various (groups of) UEs has to be gathered.

#### The invention

20 The invention uses a selective prioritising mechanism of ACKs or NACKs in the uplink feedback signalling. The selection process is based on the quality of signal reception in different groups of UEs.

The invention exploits the fact that (groups of) UEs will experience different quality of signal or packet reception in a cell, by implementing ACKs or NACKs with priorities reflecting that difference.

25 Different UEs may apply different thresholds so that ACKs or NACKs can indicate where they fit on a scale of "good" reception for ACKs or "bad" reception for NACKs.

In a typical embodiment, ACKs or NACKs of different priority can be transmitted by different UEs according to their reception quality. As a simple example, a 2-threshold/2-NACKs scheme may differentiate between:



- NACK1: chronically bad reception (or currently very bad reception)
- NACK2: infrequent bad reception (or currently bad reception)

The different UEs may use a value of one or more of the following quality metrics as the threshold for deciding whether to transmit NACK1 or

5 NACK2:

- $E_b/N_0$  over some predetermined time period (e.g. for the most recently received transmission)
- the number of packets previously received successfully in a predetermined time window
- 10 - the proportion of packets previously received correctly out of a group of a predetermined number of packets;
- The received SIR or SNR of another received signal, such as a pilot channel transmitted at a constant power level (e.g. the CPICH in UMTS). This could provide an indication of the downlink channel quality. A predetermined
- 15 offset could be applied to the received SIR to set the threshold for deciding between NACK1 and NACK2. Such a threshold could be signalled by the network, and could correspond to the difference between the transmit power of the CPICH and the transmit power of the packets which are to be acknowledged.

20 The NACK1 and NACK2 signals may be distinguished in a number of ways, including:

- transmission at different times
- different codewords
- different channels (channelisation code and/or scrambling code and/or
- 25 frequency).

In a particularly useful special case, the NACK1 message is either not transmitted at all, or transmitted using exactly the same signal as the ACK messages (which may not be transmitted either). In this case, the Node B would automatically ignore UEs which would be likely to require large numbers

30 of retransmissions before they could decode the packets correctly. This would enable retransmissions to be avoided when the majority (or some predetermined proportion) of UEs did not need them.

Depending on the types of ACKs or NACKs received, indicating how good or bad reception is, the NodeB may take the decision whether to perform retransmissions (or not) in a number of options:

- always retransmit once, or a predetermined number of times, when  
5 receiving NACK1 (as per example suggested above), possibly preventing NACK2 (groups of) UEs from sending their feedback to release uplink resources, as described in PHDE030292.
- only retransmit when NACK2 messages are received.

10 If NACK1 messages are transmitted as a uniquely identifiable signal (i.e. not identical to the ACK messages), the Node B may further use the NACK1 messages to assess the proportion of UEs which are not receiving the multicast service satisfactorily. This may then be used to adjust the transmit power, spreading factor, code rate or other parameters of the packet transmissions to increase or decrease general reliability accordingly. It may  
15 typically be desirable to ensure that at least 95% of the UEs in a cell can receive such a service satisfactorily.

Other ACKs/NACKs priority configurations and retransmissions options are possible.

IB/2005/050242



REC'D 28 JAN 2005

WIPO

PCT



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.


**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

Signed

Dated


1 November 2004





Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977  
(Rule 16)



23JUL04 E913695-2 D02879  
P01/7700 0700-041647542 NONE

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

PHGB 040025GBP

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill this part in)

0416475.2 ✓

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.  
GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1  
5621 BA EINDHOVEN  
THE NETHERLANDS

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

07419294001 ✓

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation.

THE NETHERLANDS

4. Title of the invention

A PACKET DATA MULTICAST COMMUNICATION  
SYSTEM, A STATION, AND A METHOD OF  
OPERATING THE SYSTEM

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom  
to which all correspondence should be sent  
(including the postcode)

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS  
CROSS OAK LANE  
REDHILL  
SURREY, RH1 5HA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

08359655001 ✓

6. Priority: Complete this section if you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, filed in the last 12 months.

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

UK

0401467.6

23/01/04

7. Divisionals, etc: Complete this section only if this application is a divisional application or resulted from an entitlement dispute (see note f)

Number of earlier UK application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a Patents Form 7/77 (Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent) required in support of this request?

YES

Answer YES if

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
- b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
- c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

Otherwise answer NO (See note d)

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Form 1/77

9. Accompanying documents: A patent application must include a description of the invention. Not counting duplicates, please enter the number of pages of each item accompanying this form:

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 10  
Claim(s) 4  
Abstract 1  
Drawing(s) 2

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents NONE

Translations of priority documents NONE

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) NONE

Request for a preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) NONE

Request for a substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77) NONE

Any other documents (please specify) NONE

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature(s)

*P. T. Mabey*

Date

22/07/2004

12. Name, daytime telephone number and e-mail address, if any, of person to contact in the United Kingdom

PETER MABEY

Tel. 01293 815576

peter.mabey@philips.com

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.

b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.

c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.

d) If you have answered YES in part 8, a Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.

e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

f) Part 7 should only be completed when a divisional application is being made under section 15(4), or when an application is being made under section 8(3), 12(6) or 37(4) following an entitlement dispute. By completing part 7 you are requesting that this application takes the same filing date as an earlier UK application. If you want the new application to have the same priority date(s) as the earlier UK application, you should also complete part 6 with the priority details.

## DESCRIPTION

**A PACKET DATA MULTICAST COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, A STATION,  
AND A METHOD OF OPERATING THE SYSTEM**

5

The present invention relates to a packet data multicast communication system, a station for use therein and a method of operating the system. More particularly the present invention relates to communication systems requiring  
10 acknowledgement mechanisms for feedback signalling in retransmission schemes. The present invention has particular, but not exclusive, application to cellular telephone systems such as UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunication System).

15 Retransmission schemes for the reliable delivery of data in multicast, that is point-to-multipoint, configurations are required in communications systems such as UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunication System). Such schemes usually imply the use of some form of feedback mechanism between the user equipments (UEs) and the network (NodeB), which can result in a  
20 high amount of feedback signalling when the number of UEs is large.

The concept and mode of operation of a downlink retransmission scheme with an uplink feedback mechanism is easily understood. Typically the NodeB sends data on the downlink channel to the UEs belonging to a particular multicast group. The uplink feedback mechanism in the UE can take  
25 two forms, firstly, "positive" acknowledgment (ACKs) when the UE receives a multicast packet correctly, indicating no need to retransmit, and secondly, "negative" acknowledgement (NACKs) when the UE detects some packet error or loss, indicating a need, or a request, to retransmit that piece of data. Upon receiving the NACKs, the NodeB can assess whether data retransmission is  
30 necessary or not.

A problem emerges when looking at the decision-making process to establish whether to perform retransmissions. For example if a particular UE

suffers from a bad radio channel, then it will always be requesting retransmissions. As such, any other feedback signalling coming from other UEs, whether indicating the same request for retransmission or not, would be redundant, as it would just be indicating the same need for a particular retransmission. It would also potentially be a waste of channel resources when the number of UEs is high. More generally, if a particular group of UEs are bad receivers and trigger retransmissions, then it would be redundant for other UEs to repeat the same request, unless they provided some additional information. If only a small proportion of UEs is requesting retransmissions, it may be undesirable to waste significant downlink resources retransmitting packets to those UEs.

An object of the present invention is to improve the efficiency of operating a multicast communication network.

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of operating a packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station and a plurality of second stations, the first and second stations having transceiving equipment for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the first station transmitting a data packet, and by at least one of the plurality of the second stations receiving the data packet, measuring the quality of reception of the received data packet, and determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality falls, wherein the first station adopts a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour in response to each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges and wherein the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones of the quality ranges is identical.

According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station and a plurality of second stations, the first and second stations having transceiving equipment for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the first station having means for transmitting data packet,

and by the second stations having means for receiving the data packet, means for measuring the quality of reception, means for determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality falls, and in that the transceiving equipment in the first station has means for  
5 adapting a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour in response to each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges, the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones of the quality ranges being identical.

According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a  
10 second station for use in a packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station and a plurality of second stations, the second station having transceiving equipment for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the second station having means for receiving a data packet transmitted by the first station, means for measuring  
15 the quality of reception, and by means for determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality falls, wherein each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges represents a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour of the first station and wherein the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-  
20 contiguous ones of the quality ranges is identical.

The method in accordance with the present invention establishes a selection mechanism by UEs or second stations to influence transmitter behaviour, for example by signalling of retransmission requests according to the quality of signal reception. In order to do that, some form of knowledge of  
25 the different levels of "good" or "bad" reception by various UEs or second stations has to be used.

The selective mechanism utilises the transmission of acknowledgements, for example ACKs or NACKs, in the uplink feedback signalling.

30 The method in accordance with the present invention exploits the fact that UEs will experience different quality of signal or packet reception in a cell,



and implements a system of acknowledgements with priorities reflecting that difference.

Different UEs or second stations may apply different thresholds so that the acknowledgements of different priority, for example ACKs or NACKs, can indicate where they fit on a scale of "good" reception for ACKs or "bad" reception for NACKs.

In a typical embodiment, acknowledgements of different priority are transmitted by different UEs or second stations according to the quality of reception of data packets. The first station, for example the network, processes the acknowledgements according to suitable algorithms and adapts the transmitter behaviour accordingly. When, for example, the acknowledgements indicate good reception, no retransmissions are made. This may also be the case when the acknowledgements indicate chronically bad reception. An advantage of not retransmitting data packets when the quality of reception is chronically bad is that the network or first station is able to save a lot of energy by avoiding the necessity of trying to transmit data to second stations which are experiencing an unacceptably bad quality signal. In between these two extremes the first station may decide on one or more retransmissions. Additionally one or more transmitter parameters may be adjusted. Examples of such parameters are number of retransmissions, transmit power, spreading factor, code rate and modulation scheme.

The present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 is a block schematic diagram of a multicast communication system made in accordance with the present invention,

Figure 2 illustrates an embodiment of the present invention in which the measured quality of received data packets lies in one of three quality ranges, and

Figure 3 is a flow chart of the operations involved when implementing an embodiment of the method in accordance with the present invention.

---



In the drawings the same reference numerals have been used to indicate corresponding features.

Referring to Figure 1, there is illustrated a radio communication system, for example an UMTS system, comprising a network represented by a base station BS and a plurality of user equipments (UEs) or mobile stations MS1, MS2, MS3. The mobile stations are able to roam within the radio coverage area of the base station(s) and maintain radio communication by way of spread spectrum signalling on downlinks from the base station(s) and uplinks from the mobile stations. As is customary with spread spectrum signalling several signals can be transmitted simultaneously each signal having its own signature or spreading code selected from a set of signatures. Additionally power control has to be effected to prevent weaker signals being swamped by more powerful signals. Accordingly a base station can, amongst a range of transmission parameters at its disposal, specify the maximum power at which a mobile station can transmit on the uplink.

Referring to Figure 1, the base station BS is controlled by a control module 10 which includes a controller 12 which carries out the many functions involved in the maintenance of the system and the sending and receiving of signals. A transceiver 14 is coupled to an antenna 16 for the transmission and reception of spread spectrum signals. An external source of data 18 is coupled to the controller 12 in which data is formatted into packets. The data packets are prepared for transmission by multiplying them in a multiplier 20 with a signature, for example a pseudo random code, obtained from a code store 22 under the control of the controller 12. The spread spectrum signal is passed to the transceiver 14 for modulation and transmission. For convenience of description the control module 10 is shown to include a transmission parameter store 26 and a transmission protocol store 28 coupled to the controller 12; however, these may be incorporated controller itself.

In the case of a signal received at the antenna 16 it is demodulated and despread by multiplying the demodulated signal with the appropriate signature. Thereafter the despread signal is passed to the controller 12.

The mobile stations MS1, MS2, MS3 are substantially the same and for convenience of description mobile station MS1 will be described. The mobile station MS1 is controlled by a control stage 30 which comprises a microcontroller 32. The control stage carries out the many functions involved in the operation of the mobile station, including the sending and receiving of signals. A transceiver 34 is coupled to an antenna 36 for the reception and transmission, respectively, of downlink and uplink spread spectrum signals  $S_d$  and  $S_{up}$  from and to the base station BS. A man/machine interface 38, which includes a base band data formatting and deformatting stage, means for inputting data and means for outputting data, is coupled to microcontroller 32. In a transmission mode, a data packet is passed to a multiplier 40 to which is supplied a signature, for example a pseudo random code, obtained from a code store 42 under the control of the microcontroller 32. A signal to be transmitted on the uplink is spread and is passed to the transceiver 34 for modulation and transmission. The control stage is shown to include a RAM 44 for storing data, a stage 46 for measuring the quality of reception of the received data packet and a stage 48 for determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality lies, all of which stages are coupled to, or may be incorporated into, the microcontroller 32. The stage 46 determines the quality of reception of the received data packet by comparison of a measure of received data packet quality with predetermined quality metrics.

In the case of a downlink signal  $S_d$  received at the antenna 36 it is demodulated and despread by multiplying the demodulated signal with the appropriate signature. Thereafter the despread signal is passed to the man/machine interface 38.

Referring to Figure 1, from time to time the base station BS uses the downlink to transmit data packets for Multimedia Broadcast and Multicast Services (MBMS) to a plurality of mobile stations. Each of the mobile stations MS measures the quality of reception of the received data packet depending for example on the outcome of a cyclic redundancy check (CRC) evaluation. The microcontroller 32 of the mobile station MS1 determines into which one of

at least three quality ranges the measured quality falls. An indication of the allocated quality range may, if appropriate, be forwarded to the base station BS. The indication may comprises an acknowledgement, such as a positive acknowledgement ACK or different levels of negative acknowledgements NACK1, NACK2....NACKn. If appropriate the acknowledgement is sent as an uplink signal  $S_{up}$  at a predetermined time interval after the respective data packet transmission so that the base station can associate the acknowledgement with its data packet. In certain situations, described below, it is superfluous actually to transmit an acknowledgement in respect of certain quality ranges because the transmitter's behaviour is the same.

In the case of a large number of UEs or mobile stations MS the quality of reception of transmissions from the base station BS to each mobile station will be different for each mobile station MS and may cover a range from good to bad. In the case of a very bad radio channel there will always be requests for retransmission of data packets and these could lead to a waste of channel resources.

The method in accordance with the present invention makes a more efficient usage of the channel resources by employing a selective prioritising mechanism of acknowledgements, for example ACKs or NACKs, in the uplink feedback signalling. The selection process is based on the quality of signal reception in respective mobile stations MS.

In a typical embodiment, acknowledgements, for example ACKs or NACKs, of different priority can be transmitted by different mobile stations MS according to their reception quality.

Figure 2 illustrates a simplified scheme having three ranges R1, R2, R3 of signal quality defined respectively by above a first threshold TH1, above a second threshold TH2 and below the first threshold TH1, and below the second threshold TH2. The range R1 relates to acceptable, the range R2 relates to infrequent bad reception (or currently bad reception) and the range R3 relates to chronically bad reception (or currently very bad reception). In the illustrated scheme the feedback signal for the range R1 is ACK, for the range R2 is NACK2 and for the range R3 is NACK1.

The different mobile stations may use one or more values of one or more of the following quality metrics as the thresholds for deciding the ranges of signal quality:

- $E_b/N_0$  (energy per bit divided by noise density) over some predetermined time period (e.g. for the most recently received transmission)
- the number of packets previously received successfully in a predetermined time window
- the proportion of packets previously received correctly out of a group of a predetermined number of packets;
- the received SIR or SNR of the data or of another received signal, such as a pilot channel transmitted at a constant power level (e.g. the CPICH (Common Pilot Channel) in UMTS). This could provide an indication of the quality of reception of the downlink channel. A predetermined offset could be applied to the received SIR of the CPICH prior to comparison with the threshold for deciding between NACK1 and NACK2. Such a threshold could be signalled by the network, that is the base station BS, and could correspond to the difference between the transmit power of the CPICH and the transmit power of the packets which are to be acknowledged.

Since an ACK signal does not request the base station BS to retransmit previously transmitted data packets, the protocol may permit a mobile station not to transmit an ACK thereby reducing the overall signal traffic. NACK1 and NACK2 signals may be distinguished in a number of ways, for example by being transmitted at different times, by using different code words, or by using different channels (that is channelisation code and/or scrambling code and/or frequency).

In the case of a chronically bad channel giving rise to many retransmission requests, the operating mode may take the form in which the NACK1 message is either transmitted using exactly the same signal as the ACK messages or not transmitted at all. In other words the transmitter behaviour in response to the measured signal quality lying in the non-contiguous quality ranges R1 and R3 is the same. In this case, the NodeB or base station BS would effectively ignore mobile stations MS which would be

likely to require large numbers of retransmissions before they could decode the packets correctly by regarding them as having received signal qualities lying in the range R1. This would enable retransmissions to be avoided when the majority (or some predetermined proportion) of mobile stations did not need them, or when a retransmission was unlikely to be decodable either. By adopting this measure mobile stations can save energy by avoiding trying to decode data packets of an unacceptable quality.

Depending on the types of acknowledgements received, indicating how good or bad reception is, the NodeB or base station BS may take the decision whether to perform retransmissions (or not) in accordance with a number of options. Firstly, always retransmit once, or a predetermined number of times, when receiving NACK1, possibly preventing NACK2 mobile stations from sending their feedback, so as to release uplink resources. Secondly, only retransmit when NACK2 messages are received.

If a NACK1 message is transmitted as a uniquely identifiable signal (that is not identical to the ACK messages), the NodeB or base station BS may further use the NACK1 messages to assess the proportion of mobile stations which are not receiving the multicast service satisfactorily. This may then be used by the controller 12 to adjust the number of retransmissions, transmit power, spreading factor, code rate, modulation scheme or other parameters of the packet transmissions to increase or decrease general reliability accordingly. These options are contained in the transmission parameter store 26. Typically it may be desirable to ensure that at least 95% of the mobile stations in a cell can receive such an MBMS service satisfactorily.

Other acknowledgement priority configurations and retransmissions options are possible. Also more than three quality ranges may be created. However the transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones of the quality ranges is identical.

Referring to the flow chart shown in Figure 3, block 50 relates to the node B or base station transmitting data packets on a downlink. Block 52 relates to the mobile stations receiving the data packets and in block 54 the mobile stations measure the quality of reception of the received data packets.



Block 56 relates to determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality value falls. Block 58 relates to checking if the selected quality range is one of the predefined non-contiguous ranges. If the answer is yes (Y) then in block 60 the mobile station assumes a signalling status for operating in a non-contiguous range, for example range R1 or R3 in Figure 2. The signalling status includes the options of sending a feedback signal and of not sending anything. In block 62 a check is made to see if a feedback signal is to be sent. If the answer is yes (Y) the flow chart proceeds to block 64. If the answer in the block 58 is no (N), the flow chart also proceeds to the block 68.

The block 64 relates to the mobile station transmitting a feedback signal including indicia indicating the quality range. The indicia may be of any suitable form, for example a predetermined acknowledgement signal such as NACK2 (Figure 2). Block 66 relates to the base station BS receiving the feedback signal and analysing the received indicia. The flow chart applies the output from the block 66 and the no (N) output from the block 62 to block 68 which relates to the base station determining its transmission behaviour. Block 70 relates to the base station checking whether retransmission(s) of data packet(s) is (or are) necessary. If the answer is no (N) the flow chart proceeds to the block 50. If the answer is yes (Y), the flow chart proceeds to block 72 which relates to the retransmission of data packets the required number of times. The flow chart thereafter proceeds to the block 52.

In the present specification and claims the word "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. Further, the word "comprising" does not exclude the presence of other elements or steps than those listed.

From reading the present disclosure, other modifications will be apparent to persons skilled in the art. Such modifications may involve other features which are already known in the design, manufacture and use of communication systems and component parts therefor and which may be used instead of or in addition to features already described herein.



## CLAIMS

1. A method of operating a packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station (BS) and a plurality of second stations (MS),  
5 the first and second stations having transceiving equipment (14, 34) for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the first station transmitting a data packet, and by at least one of the plurality of the second stations receiving the data packet, measuring the quality of reception of the received data packet, and determining into which one of at least three  
10 predetermined quality ranges (R1,R2,R3) the measured quality falls, wherein the first station adopts a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour in response to each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges and wherein the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones (R1,R3) of the quality ranges is identical.
- 15 2. A method as claimed in claim 1, characterised by the second station transmitting indicia representative of the quality ranges other than said at least two non-contiguous quality ranges.
- 20 3. A method as claimed in claim 2, characterised by the second station transmitting the same indicia in respect of each of the at least two non-contiguous quality ranges.
- 25 4. A method as claimed in claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that the at least two non-contiguous quality ranges are the best (R1) and the worst (R3) quality ranges.
- 30 5. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the measuring of the quality of reception of the received data packet is characterised by comparison of a measure of a predetermined quality metric of a received signal with at least three quality ranges.

6. A method as claimed in claim 5, characterised in that the quality ranges are defined by threshold values applied by respective second terminals (MS).

5

7. A method as claimed in claim 5, characterised in that the quality ranges are defined by threshold values are signalled to the second stations by the first station.

10

8. A method as claimed in any one of claims 5 to 7, characterised in that the quality metrics comprise at least one of  $E_b/N_0$  (energy per bit/ noise density), the number of data packets received successfully in a predetermined time window, the proportion of data packets previously received correctly out of a group of predetermined number of packets, and the received SIR (Signal-to-Interference Ratio) or SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) of another received signal.

15

9. A method as claimed in claim 8, characterised in that the quality of reception of the received data packet is determined during a predetermined duration.

20

10. A method as claimed in claim any one of claims 2 to 9, characterised in that the first station adjusts one or more transmission parameters to ensure that at least a predetermined percentage of secondary stations receive a data packet data service satisfactorily.

25

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, characterised in that the transmission parameters comprise one or more of number of retransmissions, transmit power, spreading factor, code rate, and modulation scheme.

30

12. A method as claimed in any one of claims 2 to 11, characterised in that different indicia (NACK1, NACK2) are distinguished by transmission at different times.

5 13 A method as claimed in any one of claims 2 to 11, characterised in that different indicia (NACK1, NACK2) are distinguished by different code words.

10 14. A method as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 11, characterised in that different indicia (NACK1, NACK2) are distinguished by different frequency channels.

15 15. A packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station (BS) and a plurality of second stations (MS1, MS2, MS3), the first and second stations having transceiving equipment (14, 34) for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the first station having means (14) for transmitting data packet, and by the second stations having means (34) for receiving the data packet, data, means (46) for measuring the quality of reception, means (30, 48) for determining into which one of at least  
20 three predetermined quality ranges (R1,R2,R3) the measured quality falls, and in that the transceiving equipment in the first station has means (10) for adapting a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour in response to each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges, the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones (R1,R3) of the  
25 quality ranges being identical.

16. A system as claimed in claim 15, characterised in that the means (46) for measuring the quality of reception is adapted to compare a measure of a predetermined quality metric of a received signal with at least  
30 three quality ranges.

17. A system as claimed in claim 15, characterised in that the first station (BS) has means (26) for adjusting one or more transmission parameters to ensure that at least a predetermined percentage of second stations receive a data packet.

5

18. A system as claimed in claim 17, characterised in that the transmission parameters comprise one or more of number of retransmissions, transmit power, spreading factor, code rate or modulation scheme.

10

19. A second station (MS) for use in a packet data multicast communication system comprising a first station and a plurality of second stations, the second station having transceiving equipment (34) for communication between the first and second stations, characterised by the second station having means (34) for receiving a data packet transmitted by the first station, means (46) for measuring the quality of reception, and by means (30, 48) for determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges (R1,R2,R3) the measured quality falls, wherein each of the at least three predetermined quality ranges represents a respective subsequent transmitter behaviour of the first station and wherein the subsequent transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones (R1,R3) of the quality ranges is identical.

15

20

20. A second station as claimed in claim 19, characterised in that the means (46) for measuring the quality of reception is adapted to compare a measure of received data packet quality with predetermined quality metrics.

25



## ABSTRACT

**A PACKET DATA MULTICAST COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, A STATION,  
AND A METHOD OF OPERATING THE SYSTEM**

5

A method of operating a packet data multicast communication system which comprises a network or NodeB (BS) and a plurality of mobile stations (MS1, MS2, MS3). The network and the mobile stations have transceiving equipment (14, 34) for the transmission of data packets on a downlink  
10 between the network and mobile stations, and feedback signalling (ACK/NACK) on an uplink. The mobile stations have means for receiving a data packet transmitted by the first station, means (46) for measuring the quality of reception, means (30, 48) for determining into which one of at least three predetermined quality ranges the measured quality falls. Each of the at  
15 least three predetermined quality ranges represents a respective transmitter behaviour of the first station and the transmitter behaviour corresponding to at least two non-contiguous ones of the quality ranges is identical.

(Figure 1)





2/2




Fig. 3

PCT/IB2005/050242

